

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/018,455	BECK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James R. Brittain	3677	

All Participants:

Status of Application: After non-final amendment

(1) James R. Brittain.

(3) _____.

(2) Julie K. Morriss.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 September 2005

Time: 11:40

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

51

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreement was reached to amend the claim 51 to make a typographical correction and to make editorial changes to the specification

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)